

**EDMOND CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**

October 10, 2005

Mayor Sandra Naifeh called the regular meeting of the Edmond City Council to order at 5:30 p.m., Monday, October 10, 2005, in the City Council Chambers.

**2. Approval of Minutes.** Motion by Miller, seconded by Lamb, to approve September 26, 2005, Minutes. **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

**3. City Council Presentations:**

- A. **Recognition and appreciation to Police, Fire and Public Works Personnel who responded to assist the victims of the recent hurricanes.**
- B. **Proclamation proclaiming October 9 through 15, 2005, as "FIRE PREVENTION WEEK" in the City of Edmond.**
- C. **Proclamation proclaiming October, 2005, as "CITY IN THE PINK MONTH" in the City of Edmond.**
- D. **Proclamation proclaiming October, 2005, as "NATIONAL ARTS AND HUMANITIES MONTH" in the City of Edmond.**
- E. **City Manager's Monthly Progress Report.** Larry Stevens addressed Council and stated the contractor for the 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Kelly project has finished significantly ahead of schedule and will earn approximately \$30,000 in early completion incentives. He stated all traffic lanes are open on 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Edgewood to Pine Oak, with the punch list items being completed by the October 10<sup>th</sup> completion date. Mr. Stevens stated the Kelly Parkway project, 15<sup>th</sup> to 33<sup>rd</sup> Streets, began on September 6<sup>th</sup>. The contractor is currently modifying the subgrade. He noted the west side will be completed first and the entire project is scheduled to be completed within nine months. He stated work began last week on part one of the second phase of the streetscape project with a completion date of the end of January, 2006. The cost of the project is approximately

\$1.62 million. He noted part two of this phase was bid last week and a contract will be awarded this month. Construction will be delayed until January to avoid the holiday shopping season with completion prior to the Downtown Arts Festival on April 19<sup>th</sup>.

**4. Appointments to Boards and Commissions:**

- A. **Appointment to the Visual Arts Commission.** Councilmember Miller nominated June Cartwright to fulfill the unexpired term of Kerry Adams to serve a term expiring in March, 2007.

Motion by Miller, seconded by Page, to approve Item 4.A.  
**Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

5. **GENERAL CONSENT ITEMS:** (General Consent Items were voted on collectively except where noted.)

A. **Approval of Administrative Items:**

1) **Approval of cooperative use agreement with the University of Central Oklahoma (UCO). (Agreement contingent upon the approval of the Arcadia Lake Lease agreement with UCO).**

2) **Approval of supplemental appropriations for the 2000 Capital Improvements Tax Fund for the Kelly Parkway, 15<sup>th</sup> to 33<sup>rd</sup> Streets - \$7,501**

3) **Approval of supplemental appropriations for the Arts in Public Places fund - \$6,000.** Mayor Naifeh stated this appropriation is a gift from Mo Anderson to be used for new signage for all the public sculptures.

4) **Acceptance of public improvements and maintenance bonds for the following:**

- a) Cedar Pointe Addition
- b) Henderson Hills Baptist Church
- c) Orvis Risner Elementary School classroom addition
- d) Faircloud Addition

- e) Fairview Baptist Church
  - f) Rapido Rabbit car wash
  - g) Sonic Drive-In (Thomas Drive)
- 5) **Acknowledge receipt of construction permits from the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality to serve Fairfax Estates VI and Fairfax Gardens III.**
- 6) **Approval of transfer of appropriations for MIS and Marketing Departments - \$5,000**
- 7) **Approval of supplemental appropriations for City Manager/Marketing and Public Relations Departments - \$80,000 estimated amount.** Staff is ready to solicit Request for Proposals (RFP) for a contract to totally rebuild the website and lay groundwork for an intranet. The new website will also provide the foundation to interface with software from other departments and to gain capabilities that are not currently possible. The item was originally included in the FY 2005/06 budget but was removed because staff was not sure of the time frame to complete the project. Staff is ready to move forward with this project sooner than anticipated. By outsourcing this function, it can be completed more quickly than if completed internally due to the time needed for such a large project.

Councilmember Sanford asked how staff arrived at the \$80,000 figure. She noted that she requested details and she received an explanation but not how the dollar amount was determined. She stated she was concerned about approving such a large amount of money without understanding more fully how the figure was determined.

Larry Stevens addressed Council and stated the figure was provided to them from the MIS Department since the website was originally included in their budget before it was transferred to the Marketing Department. He noted the intent of the MIS Department was to completely rebuild the website. Mr. Stevens stated it has always been anticipated that this project would be outsourced.

Claudia Deakins, Director of Marketing and Public Relations, addressed Council and stated the MIS

Department estimated \$70,000, which was based on the number of pages at approximately \$100 per page. Ms. Deakins stated her staff had received information from other similar sized cities who recently updated their website and the actual cost was between \$70,000 and \$80,000. Ms. Deakins stated because the actual cost for other cities was higher than had been previously estimated, she increased her estimate to \$80,000.

Motion by Lamb, seconded by Miller, to approve General Consent Item 5.A.(7). **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page, Lamb  
and Miller  
NAYS: Councilmember Sanford

B. **Approval of Purchases:**

- 1) **Approval of utility relocation agreement with Pedestal Oil Company for the Boulevard widening, Danforth to Covell, project in the amount of \$80,000.**
- 2) **Approval of Change Order No. 1 with McConnell Construction Company and acceptance of maintenance bonds for the FY 2003-04 Spring Overlay project in the amount of \$6,834.55.**
- 3) **Award of bid for rehabilitation of residential structure located at 723 Sunset as part of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program from L.G. Construction in the amount of \$17,616.**
- 4) **Award of bid for rehabilitation of residential structure located at 809 Sunset as part of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program from Titan Home Remodel in the amount of \$33,350.**
- 5) **Award of bid for rifles for the Police Department.** Purchasing Manager recommended accepting bid from Phoenix Distributors in the annual estimated amount of \$30,000.
- 6) **Award of bid for night vision equipment for the Police Department.** Purchasing Manager recommended accepting bid from G.T. Distributors in the amount of \$49,046.40.

7) **Acceptance of trail easement from REG Oklahoma Acquisition, LLC, for the Fink Park to Hafer Park trail project in the amount of \$14,504.**

8) **Acceptance of trail easement from the Waterwood Office Condominium Owners Association for the Fink Park to Hafer Park trail project in the amount of \$47,000.**

Motion by Lamb, seconded by Page, to approve General Consent Items 5.A.(1-6) and 5.B(1-8). **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

9) **Acceptance of proposal and approval of engagement letter (including renewal options) from Lisle Compton Cole & Almen LLP for internal audit services.** An RFP was sent out on August 5<sup>th</sup> and four proposals were received. The Finance/Audit Committee reviewed all the proposals and unanimously agreed that Lisle Compton Cole & Almen was the best firm to perform the internal audits.

Councilmember Sanford stated when she was a member of the Committee, Request for Qualifications (RFQ) were solicited with only one firm submitting an RFQ. She noted the Committee scheduled an interview with this firm and she asked why interviews were not scheduled with the four firms who submitted an RFP. She asked if the difference was because the first time the City solicited RFQs and this time RFPs were solicited.

Mayor Naifeh stated the Committee reviewed all the proposals thoroughly and the reason interviews were not held was because she felt that Lisle Compton had submitted such a good proposal she suggested that interviews not be scheduled with the remaining three, two of which are from out of state. She noted she felt it would be a waste of time to schedule interviews when the Committee's unanimous decision was to contract with Lisle Compton.

Councilmember Sanford stated she felt it would

have been helpful to schedule interviews. She noted the Committee had interviewed Lisle Compton when she was still on the Committee. She stated she also reviewed the RFPs and while she had no objections to contracting with Lisle Compton, she felt a matrix would have been helpful to her.

Councilmember Lamb stated although he was unable to attend the Committee meeting, he concurred with the decision. He noted comparing the fee structure for Lisle Compton versus anyone outside of Edmond would have resulted in a large amount of travel expenses that would not have generated any program benefits. He stated the Committee did have a high degree of comfort because the previous conflict was removed. He stated he also attended the previous interview with Lisle Compton and the only reason they were not chosen at that time was since only one REQ was received, there was nothing to compare their proposal to.

Stephen Schaus, City Treasurer, addressed Council and stated it was a detailed process and that a matrix had been prepared detailing each RFP. He stated because two of the firms were from out of state, significant travel expenses would have been incurred and fees for the firm from Oklahoma City were significantly higher than Lisle Compton. Mr. Schaus stated the Oklahoma City firm's experience level was also significantly less than Lisle Compton. He noted the proposal from Lisle Compton was also customized and detailed to meet the needs of the City of Edmond. Mr. Schaus stated he also felt it was a waste of time to interview all of the four firms who submitted proposals.

Motion by Lamb, seconded by Page, to approve General Consent Item 5.B(9). **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

Motion by Miller, seconded by Page, to recess the City Council meeting in order to convene the Edmond Public Works Authority meeting. **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,

Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

**8. PLANNING CONSENT ITEMS:**

- A. **Public Hearing and Consideration of commercial Site Plan approval for a new building, located on the west side of Bryant, east of the Chapel Ridge Apartments, 1251 S. Fretz (State Beauty Supply, applicant) Case No. SP050047.**
- B. **Public Hearing and Consideration of commercial Site Plan approval for an office building, located on the west side of Bryant, north of the Damon Johnson Nursery (Financial Concepts Mortgage, LLC, applicant) Case No. SP050046.**

Motion by Miller, seconded by Page, to approve Planning Consent Items 8.A. and 8.B. **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

**9. Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance No. 2948 rezoning from "C-2" Medium Density Residential District to "C-3" High Density Residential and Commercial Services District, located on the southeast corner of Hurd and Blackwelder, 1104 E. Hurd Street (Nine K, LLC, applicant) Case No. Z050043.** The property contains 37,500 square feet. The application is in compliance with the Edmond Plan III and is suitable for multi-family type dwellings. A number of apartments are already located in the area. Planning Commission recommended approval.

Motion by Lamb, seconded by Miller, to approve Ordinance No. 2948 as read by title by City Planner. **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

**10. Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance No. 2949 closing an alley easement for public access purposes and retention as a utility easement, located on the southeast corner of Hurd and Blackwelder, 1104 E. Hurd Street (Nine K, LLC, applicant) Case No. ES050005.** The applicant is requesting the alley between the lots be closed to vehicular traffic. A sanitary sewer line extends through the alley and will be retained as a 20 foot wide utility easement. There are no plans to build over the easement.

Councilmember Page stated he was concerned about closing the alley because he drives through this area on a regular basis and parking is already a problem. He stated if parking could be off-street he felt it would eliminate some of the congestion and parking problems.

Mary Ann Karns, attorney representing the applicant, addressed Council and stated a site plan can not be submitted until the alley is closed. She stated the plan at this time is to construct three two-story buildings with parking in the rear. She stated if the alley remains open, the applicant will be required to improve it to street standards if a driveway crosses it. Ms. Karns stated the alley is not a usable alley. She stated she felt certain the applicant would construct a driveway across it but that the alley would not be converted into a driveway.

Bob Schiermeyer, City Planner, addressed Council and stated the alley has never been opened for traffic since there is a retaining wall on the east end of the alley. He noted if the City decided to open the alley for traffic, the alley would need to be graded and the drainage issue addressed due to the retaining wall.

Councilmember Miller noted this alley is unique due to the retaining wall and the ungraded condition of the alley because normally the City would require the alley to be improved to City standards.

Motion by Miller, seconded by Page, to approve Ordinance No. 2949 as read by title by City Planner **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

Mayor Naifeh stated that Items 11 through 14 would be discussed together.

Bob Schiermeyer addressed Council and stated the PUD Design Statement has been amended by letter to reflect a traffic light at Briarwood and Bryant, a second traffic light at 15<sup>th</sup> Street and the necessary street right-of-way on 15<sup>th</sup> Street and Bryant for the traffic signals as well as right and left turn lanes that are no less than 150 feet long each with the full cost of

these improvements being paid by the developer. He stated the application also has fewer parking spaces than required. The applicant has made several changes to the traffic plan and have submitted several traffic and drainage studies since this application was previously reviewed.

Randel Shadid, attorney representing the applicant, addressed Council and stated the 2.84 acre tract would be restricted to the department store use only. The applicant will provide 14% landscaping which is the same as the Spring Creek Plaza and Ballenger's shopping center. He stated the proposed development is less dense than both Spring Creek Plaza and Ballengers shopping centers. Mr. Shadid stated the architecture will be the same as Spring Creek Plaza. He stated the back of the department store and the building next to Pelican Bay Aquatic Center will have the same architectural treatment as the front. Mr. Shadid stated the building by Pelican Bay will be moved further south in order to have a larger berm and more landscaping. He stated parking will also be eliminated next to Pelican Bay in order to provide only the minimum parking required by City code. He noted the applicant has offered two options for detention and will exceed Title 23 requirements. One option would be to create a water feature pond with a pedestrian bridge between Hafer Park and the applicant's property and a second option would be to install a vehicular bridge across the creek entering into the main body of the shopping center. He stated the pond would decrease the water level in the 100-year flood event by five inches as it enters into the Chimney Hill addition. Mr. Shadid stated it is not unusual in Edmond to have a commercial complex and park next to each other. He cited as examples the new third community park on 33<sup>rd</sup> Street and the recent rezoning of the southwest corner of Covell and Kelly. Mr. Shadid stated this area has not been discussed since the 1984 Plan Update. He noted the City has already established commercial usage in this area by constructing the Pelican Bay Aquatic Center. He stated if this application is denied, the applicant would be able to construct 189-200 single family homes on this property under the current zoning category. Mr. Shadid stated the property will be developed in one way or another and will not remain green space as it is now. He stated the applicant has made several concessions that Council indicated they wanted and he stated the applicant would like to use their property for its highest and best use.

A petition containing 3,255 signatures opposing this application was submitted to Council.

Lydia Lee, attorney representing Bryant ACCORD III, addressed Council in opposition. She stated five primary reasons why the application should be denied - substantial citizen opposition, increased traffic, drainage/floodplain issues, impact on surrounding properties and past action by the Council. She stated when the applicant purchased the property they knew the existing zoning designation and property owners in the State of Oklahoma do not have a legal entitlement to have their property rezoned. Ms. Lee stated this application is not a reasonable change to the Edmond Plan. She discussed drainage problems in the area and stated the school traffic was not included in the traffic study submitted by the applicant nor was the new Wal-Mart and the adjacent shopping center on 15<sup>th</sup> Street and I-35 next to the Fox Lake Addition included in the study. She stated Spring Creek Plaza has more than 14% landscaping and she felt the design was not in compliance with City ordinances. She noted the applicant does not have a tree preservation plan to preserve the existing native trees. Ms. Lee stated approval of this application will significantly impact the surrounding area and homeowners.

Al Wheeler, Courtney McCloughlin, David Price, Mike Scharrer, Matt Welch, Debra Johnson, Debbie Powell, Gary Sparks and Dick Opel all area residents, appeared in opposition. Kate Wooten, representing the Edmond Neighborhood Alliance, and Lawrence Curtis (Oklahoma City) also addressed Council in opposition. Their primary objections were increased traffic and drainage/erosion problems. Several residents also felt the shopping center would affect the atmosphere of Hafer Park.

Cindy Robson and Roger Babb addressed Council in support of the application.

Mayor Naifeh stated she has lived in Chimney Hill for many years and that erosion has always been a problem and was not caused by commercial development in this area. She noted the gabion walls have been problematic for many years. She stated each homeowner has contributed to the erosion problems as their homes were constructed.

Councilmember Page stated development causes the creeks to run rampant with stormwater runoff which is the result of too much development. He noted the City of Edmond has spent a great deal of taxpayer funds to correct flooding problems throughout the City and there are still areas remaining that will need to be addressed. He stated there is a reason why this area has not

developed and he felt it was due to the convergence of flood waters to this area. He stated the reason why the City did not attempt to purchase the property years ago is because no one expected this property to develop. He noted he felt all the drainage and flooding problems were caused by Mother Nature as well as the homes and commercial development in the area. Councilmember Page stated the question was how much more taxpayer funds the Council wanted to spend to repair the problems they were creating by allowing further commercial development in this area.

Councilmember Sanford stated there has always been the potential for commercial development on this property. She cited some 1990s history when another group had attempted to rezone the area and were not successful. She noted different developers have attempted to get this property rezoned for the past 20 years and that there is nothing unique or unusual about this piece of property. Councilmember Sanford stated the arguments through the years have been that residents did not want another Bryant Square and she stated Spring Creek is nothing like Bryant Square. She noted when Spring Creek Plaza was approved, they were not even required to provide additional drainage structures.

Councilmember Miller stated the additional traffic generated from the proposed development would just add to the existing problems. He noted the new traffic study did not include the previous study because six times more traffic would result from the development than would be generated by residential homes. He noted Bryant and 15<sup>th</sup> Streets were already high traffic areas. He stated he felt the City had a lot to lose by approving this application and that the applicant has given the City the impact in their reports but have not provided a solution to the increased traffic problems. Councilmember Miller stated he could not support the project due to the impact on the surrounding areas.

Councilmember Lamb stated the traffic problems being cited are already beginning to merit some of the improvements being talked about. He stated he did not have major issues with retail development but he did have concerns regarding the environmental sensitivity of the site. He stated he felt the proposed footprint of the project in this delicate location is too intense. He stated he understood the applicant has attempted to provide design solutions to the downstream flows. Councilmember Lamb stated he did not feel there was adequate justification for amending the Edmond Plan for a project of this magnitude at this

location. He noted he has studied this area in depth and felt now was not the time.

11. **Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance No. 2950 amending Edmond Plan III from Single Family Dwelling to General Commercial Planned Unit Development (PUD) usage, located east of Bryant, south of Hafer Park, north of 15<sup>th</sup> Street (The Bridges at Spring Creek/Sooner Land Company, LLC, applicant) Case No. Z050020.** The parcel contains 2.84 acres and is in the center of the project. A two-story 75,000 square foot department store is planned. Access would be from a new driveway connecting to Bryant across from Briarwood Drive with a second access on 15<sup>th</sup> Street west of Chappelwood Financial Services. A significant floodplain runs along Spring Creek which would require substantial grading, clearing and leveling of the property before any buildings could be constructed due to their close proximity to the floodplain and the parking lot. Hafer Park and Pelican Bay are located to the north and Spring Creek Plaza is southwest of this location. The drainage plan submitted by the applicant complies with Title 23 requirements. This application is not compatible with Edmond Plan III and the Planning Commission recommended denial.

Motion by Lamb, seconded by Miller, to approve Ordinance No. 2950 as read by title by City Planner. **Motion was denied** as follows:

AYES: None  
NAYS: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page, Sanford, Lamb and Miller

12. **Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance rezoning from "A" Single Family Dwelling to "E-1" General Commercial Planned Unit Development (PUD) usage, located east of Bryant, south of Hafer Park, north of 15<sup>th</sup> Street (The Bridges at Spring Creek/Sooner Land Company, LLC, applicant) Case No. Z050021.** This is a companion to the above item and was not considered due to the denial of that item.

13. **Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance No. 2951 amending Edmond Plan III from Single Family Dwelling and Suburban Office to Restricted Commercial Planned Unit Development (PUD) usage, located east of Bryant, south of Hafer Park, north of 15<sup>th</sup> Street (The Bridges at Spring Creek/Sooner Land Company, LLC, applicant) Case No. Z050018.** The site contains 28.35 acres. The applicant plans to develop a shopping center with additional shopping building pad sites along Bryant. Development of the shopping center would require one major

bridge across the tributary of Spring Creek that extends from Bryant. An existing home previously owned by the Whit Marks family is located north of the Spring Creek Plaza shopping center and the current driveway connects to that home site. A minimum of 14% landscaping is shown in the PUD document. This application is also not compatible with Edmond Plan III and the Planning Commission recommended denial.

Motion by Miller, seconded by Lamb, to approve Ordinance No. 2951 as read by title by City Planner. **Motion was denied** as follows:

AYES: None  
NAYS: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page, Sanford, Lamb and Miller

**14. Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance rezoning from "A" Single Family Dwelling to "D-1" Restricted Commercial Planned Unit Development (PUD) usage, located east of Bryant, south of Hafer Park, north of 15<sup>th</sup> Street (The Bridges at Spring Creek/ Sooner Land Company, LLC, applicant) Case No. Z050019.** This is a companion to the above item and was not considered due to the denial of that item.

**15. Public Hearing and Consideration of commercial Site Plan approval for Oakview Professional Office Pointe building, 3815 E. Memorial Road (Roger Hicks, applicant) Case No. SP050035.** The site contains 21,427 square feet. There is an existing 1,646 square foot building and a 3,659 square foot one-story building is proposed. The front setback is eight feet from the property line and 58 feet from the center line of Memorial Road. City ordinances require a 100 foot setback from the center line. The existing driveway on Memorial Road is being closed and one common drive will serve the office park. All of the right-of-way on Memorial Road is in the City of Oklahoma City. The applicant will plat the property and grant the additional right-of-way in compliance with City of Edmond standards. The side yard setback on the west next to Edmond Oaks is 12 feet but due to the high pitch of the roof the building appears to be two-story. If it is determined as a sensitive border area, then a greater setback would be required. The applicant has agreed to install an eight foot stockade fence on the west side of the property as a buffer for the adjacent property owners. Planning Commission recommended denial.

Randel Shadid, attorney representing the applicant, addressed Council and stated the applicant has owned the property for 20

years prior to the I-35 Corridor standards were enacted. He noted during the Planning Commission meeting the property was erroneously identified as "D-1" Restricted Commercial zoning when it was actually zoned "D-0" Suburban Office. Mr. Shadid stated in the future the applicant will submit site plans for the three adjacent tracts. He stated the street off Memorial Road will be closed and access to all the property will be by way of the existing cul de sac. He stated the application complies with all setback requirements with the exception of Memorial Road. He stated the only variance requested was regarding the set back off Memorial Road. He noted when the property is platted, the applicant would donate 17 feet of right-of-way on Memorial Road. He stated the long term objective is modify the façade on the two existing buildings. He noted the development is of high quality and they meet or exceed landscaping standards.

Leon Jarred, Paula Jones, and Dorothea Emanian, adjacent property owners, addressed Council in opposition. Their primary concerns were that the building was too tall and they were also opposed to the variance.

George Eddens addressed Council and stated he was not notified of the application and only found out about the hearing from one of his neighbors.

After researching staff's list of property owners within 300 feet of the property who received legal notices, it was determined that Mr. Eddens did not receive a legal notice.

Steve Murdock, City Attorney, addressed Council and recommended this item be continued in order to allow staff to correct the legal notice requirement and eliminate any future challenges.

Motion by Miller, seconded by Lamb, to continue Item 15 to November 14, 2005, in order to mail new notices to all property owners within 300 feet. **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

**16. Consideration and approval of Resolution No. 32-05 approving action taken by the Edmond Public Works Authority authorizing issuance, sale and delivery of a promissory note of the authority to the Oklahoma Water Resources Board; ratifying a lease, as amended; approving a sales tax agreement; and containing other provisions related thereto.**

Motion by Lamb, seconded by Page, to approve Resolution No. 32-

05. **Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

**17. NEW BUSINESS:**

Mayor Naifeh stated October 22<sup>nd</sup> is the "Make a Difference Day" which is a national program in which the City will participate in. She stated this year's project will be to re-landscape the front of the National Guard Armory. She invited citizens, businesses and National Guard soldiers to work together on this worthwhile project.

Mayor Naifeh stated on October 11<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 p.m. there will be a brief ceremony at the National Guard Armory to welcome home the 120 Edmond National Guard soldiers who have just returned from security and medical missions in Louisiana.

**18. Motion by Miller, seconded by Lamb, to adjourn meeting.**  
**Motion carried** as follows:

AYES: Mayor Naifeh, Councilmembers Page,  
Sanford, Lamb and Miller  
NAYS: None

---

City Clerk

---

Mayor